


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
 FROM: C. Ecija, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: August 27, 2008
 CASE NO.: 08cv1033 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff, Alvin Hennington, Jr.
 CASE TITLE: Hennington v. Federal Bureau of Investigation
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte Request for Court Default Judgment

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	OTHER: <u>Improper Format, no proof of service; Response filed 8/11/08 - motion to dismiss pending</u>
	Date forwarded: _____
	<u>ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

Dated: 8/27/08
 cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: Houston
 By: [Signature]

REJECTED

Request for Court Default-
Judgment, To The Honorable
Judge John A. Houston

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Alvin Hennington JR.

Plaintiff,

vs.
Federal Bureau
of Investigation

Defendant.

CASE NO. 37-00079157-

CU-DF-CTL,

08CV1033BTMAJB

I Demand a Default on the
Grounds that the Defendants Did not
Respond in the 60 days given by
this court, and cannot be dismissed
without Legal Grounds and
there are none.

Sincerely,

Alvin Hennington JR.

Alvin Hennington

8-27-2008